



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Vinginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/089,402	06/03/1998	HARUHIKO MURATA	P7314-8005	2801
	90 06/12/2003			
ARENT FOX KINTNER PLOTKIN & KAHN			EXAMINER	
SUITE 400	1050 CONNECTICUT AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 400		NGUYEN, LUONG TRUNG	
WASHINGTON, DC 20036			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2612	A
			DATE MAILED: 06/12/2003	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

RECEIVED
JUN 1 9 2003

Technology Center 2600

	Application No.	Applicant(s)					
Advisory Action	09/089,402	MURATA ET AL.					
Auvisory Action	Examiner	Art Unit					
	LUONG T NGUYEN	2612					
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress				
THE REPLY FILED 19 May 2003 FAILS TO PLACE THIST Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to average in a rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.	oid abandonment of this applicated at timely filed amendment which	ition. A proper repl n places the applica	y to a ition in				
PERIOD FOR RE	PLY [check either a) or b)]						
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire Is ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS 706.07(f). Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of the under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the context o	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE date on which the petition under 37 CFI f extension and the corresponding amothe shortened statutory period for reply the later than three months after the mail	g date of the final rejecting FINAL REJECTION. R 1.136(a) and the apprunt of the fee. The appropriation of the fee. The appropriginally set in the final	on. See MPEP opriate extension ropriate extension Office action; or				
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on 19 May 2003. Appe 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFF			in				
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered be	ecause:						
(a) 🔯 they raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);							
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);							
(c) they are not deemed to place the application ir issues for appeal; and/or	n better form for appeal by mate	rially reducing or sir	nplifying the				
(d) they present additional claims without canceling	ng a corresponding number of fi	nally rejected claim	s.				
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> .							
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection	, ,						
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would canceling the non-allowable claim(s).	be allowable if submitted in a se	parate, timely filed	amendment				
5. ☐ The a) ☐ affidavit, b) ☐ exhibit, or c) ☐ request for application in condition for allowance because:		dered but does NO	T place the				
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered becaraised by the Examiner in the final rejection.	ause it is not directed SOLELY to	o issues which were	e newly				
7. For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment explanation of how the new or amended claims wo			and an				
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:							
Claim(s) allowed:							
Claim(s) objected to:							
Claim(s) rejected: 2-7.							
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:							
8. The proposed drawing correction filed on is a	a)☐ approved or b)☐ disappı	oved by the Exami	ner.				
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statemen	t(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s). /	γ .					
10. Other:	WENDY R. SUPERVISORY PAT	GARBER ENT EXAMINER					
		ENTER 2600					

Continuation of 2. NOTE:

The newly added limitation "detected by" in claim 7 (line 9) raise new issue, and the deletion of the limitation "information relating to" changes the scope of the claim that would require further consideration and/or search.